

Medical Research Division,
Biomedical Laboratories,
Edgewood Arsenal,
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010,
U.S.A.

T. L. YAKSH
H. I. YAMAMURA
L. A. FEDELE

August 10, 1973

REFERENCES

- ANSELL, G. B. & SPANNER, S. (1968). *Biochem. J.*, **110**, 201–206.
AQUILONIUS, S. M. & WINBLADH, B. (1972). *Acta physiol. scand.*, **85**, 78–90.
BARKER, L. A., DOWDALL, M. J. & WHITTAKER, V. P. (1972). *Biochem. J.*, **130**, 1063–1080.
CHAKRIN, L. W. & SHIDEMAN, F. E. (1968). *Int. J. Neuropharmac.*, **7**, 337–349.
DIAMOND, I. (1971). *Arch. Neurol.*, **24**, 333–339.
DOWDALL, M. J., BARKER, L. A. & WHITTAKER, V. P. (1972). *Biochem. J.*, **130**, 1081–1094.
SCHUBERTH, J., SPARF, B. & SUNDWALL, A. (1969). *J. Neurochem.*, **16**, 695–700.

Resistance of intestinal α -adrenoceptors to cold storage

It has been suggested that storage of rabbit intestine at 6–8° for 24 to 72 h leads to selective impairment of α -adrenoceptor function (Lum, Kermani & Heilman, 1966; Lum, Heilman & Gaunt, 1967; Salimi, Kermani & others, 1970).

In the present experiments the α -agonist (–)-phenylephrine and the β -agonist (–)-isoprenaline have been compared as inhibitors of the spontaneous isometric contractions in fresh and cold-stored segments of rabbit intestine, in the presence and absence of the selective antagonists, phentolamine and propranolol. The bathing solution used in the experiments was a modified Krebs solution with the following composition (mM):—NaCl 119.6, KCl 4.95, CaCl₂ 2.45, MgSO₄ 1.2, NaH₂PO₄ 1, sucrose 10, glucose 10.9, NaHCO₃ 25.

A complete dose-response curve for either agonist was determined on a fresh jejunal segment. One of the two antagonists was then added to the bathing solution and after an equilibration period of 30 min the dose-response curve determination for the agonist was repeated. A similar procedure was subsequently followed with an adjacent segment which was stored at 6–8° for 24 h. The concentrations of antagonists which we used were those used in the experiments of Lum & others (1966). The potencies of the agonists have been expressed as pD₂ values (Ariens & van Rossum, 1957) where the maximal response was arbitrarily defined as complete extinction of at least one spontaneous contraction. The mean pD₂ values so obtained are shown in Table 1.

In fresh tissues, isoprenaline yielded log dose-response curves flatter than those produced by phenylephrine, the onset of its inhibitory action was slower and its effects lasted longer; however, its potency was similar to that of phenylephrine. These findings are in general agreement with those of van Rossum & Mujic (1965) and of Bowman & Hall (1970), and with the hypothesis of a dual adrenoceptor mechanism subserving inhibition in rabbit jejunum (Ahlquist, 1948; Ahlquist & Levy, 1959; Levy 1959; Furchgott, 1960).

Storage of tissues at 6–8° for 24–48 h did not affect the rate of spontaneous movement (15 min⁻¹ for tissues equilibrated at 37°). In cold-stored tissues, the inhibitory potencies of both isoprenaline and phenylephrine were increased; there was less variability in tissue response; log dose-response curves were flatter; the onset and duration of the effects were indistinguishable from those observed in fresh tissues.

Phentolamine proved to be somewhat more potent an antagonist of phenylephrine in cold-stored tissues than in freshly excised tissues; thus the pA₂ (molar) estimates calculated from the results shown in Table 1 were 8.2 and 9.2 for fresh and cold-

Table 1. Mean pD_2 values for phenylephrine and isoprenaline in the absence and presence of antagonists

Agonist	Tissue condition	Mean pD_2 values \pm s.e.		
		No antagonist	Phentolamine 100 ng ml ⁻¹	Propranolol 200 ng ml ⁻¹
(–)-Phenylephrine	Fresh	7.16 \pm 0.29 (23)	5.35 \pm 0.93 (7)*	7.97 \pm 0.49 (15)
	Cold	7.97 \pm 0.26 (15)	5.17 \pm 0.18 (7)*	7.49 \pm 0.24 (7)**
(–)-Isoprenaline	Fresh	7.66 \pm 0.19 (35)	7.3 \pm 0.47 (6)**	6.87 \pm 0.19 (27)*
	Cold	8.07 \pm 0.18 (25)	7.8 \pm 0.46 (4)**	7.0 \pm 0.17 (22)*

() No of experiments.

* indicates that the value differs significantly (at $P = 0.05$) from appropriate control value on the basis of an unpaired Student's t test.

** indicates that there was a significant difference (at $P = 0.05$) between these and the control values when a paired t -test was applied to values obtained on each preparation.

stored tissues respectively. Propranolol was a less potent antagonist of isoprenaline and was approximately equipotent in fresh and cold-stored tissues. The pA_2 (molar) estimates for propranolol/isoprenaline were 6.8 and 7.1 in fresh and cold-stored tissue respectively.

Some antagonism of isoprenaline by phentolamine and of phenylephrine by propranolol (the latter in cold-stored tissues only) was indicated when "within" tissue comparisons of pD_2 values were undertaken. Such findings suggest some overlap of the properties of α - and β -adrenoceptors in rabbit intestine, or more probably, that there may be some lack of specificity in the actions of the agonists and/or antagonists used. The results clearly indicate that cold storage of rabbit intestine under the present conditions does not lead to selective impairment of intestinal α -adrenoceptors. Possibly, the difference in the present results and those of Lum & others (1966, 1967) may relate to their use, and our omission of atropine from the bathing medium.

Department of Physiology,
Monash University,
Clayton, Victoria, Australia

SAN-SAN-WAI
JOCELYN N. PENNEFATHER

August 14, 1973

REFERENCES

- AHLQUIST, R. P. (1948). *Am. J. Physiol.*, **153**, 586–600.
 AHLQUIST, R. P. & LEVY, B. (1959). *J. Pharmac. exp. Ther.*, **127**, 146–149.
 ARIENS, E. J. & ROSSUM, J. M. VAN (1957). *Archs int. Pharmacodyn. Thé.*, **110**, 275–299.
 BOWMAN, W. C. & HALL, M. T. (1970). *Br. J. Pharmac.*, **38**, 399–415.
 FURCHGOTT, R. F. (1960). In *Ciba Foundation Symposium on Adrenergic Mechanisms*, Editors: Vane, J. R., Wolstenholm, G. E., and Conner, M. O., pp. 246–252. Boston: Little, Brown and Co.
 LEVY, B. (1959). *J. Pharmac. exp. Ther.*, **127**, 150–156.
 LUM, B. K. B., KERMANI, M. H. & HEILMAN, R. D. (1966). *Ibid.*, **154**, 463–471.
 LUM, B. K. B., HEILMAN, R. D. & GAUNT, M. A. (1967). *Eur. J. Pharmac.*, **1**, 109–113.
 ROSSUM, J. M. VAN & MUJIC, M. (1965). *Archs int. Pharmacodyn. Thé.*, **155**, 418–431.
 SALIMI, M., KERMANI, R. Z., DJAHANSOUZ, B. & GOLSHAN, Sh. (1970). *Pharmacology*, **4**, 341–346.